MAR 1 3 2015

SENATE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION

REQUESTING AN AUDIT OF THE ENERGY PERFORMANCE CONTRACTING PROGRAM.

WHEREAS, section 36-41(a), Hawaii Revised Statutes, requires all agencies to evaluate and identify for implementation energy efficiency retrofitting through performance contracting; and

WHEREAS, agencies that perform energy efficiency retrofitting may continue to receive budget appropriations for energy expenditures at an amount that shall not fall below the pre-retrofitting energy budget, but shall rise in proportion to an increase in the agency's overall budget for the duration of the performance contract or project payment term; and

WHEREAS, section 36-41(b), Hawaii Revised Statutes, states that any agency may enter into a multi-year energy performance contract for the purpose of undertaking or implementing energy conservation or alternate energy measures in a facility or facilities; and

WHEREAS, an energy performance contract may include, but is not limited to, financing options such as leasing, lease-purchase, financing agreements, third-party joint ventures, guaranteed-savings plans, energy service contracts, or any combination thereof; and

WHEREAS, state agencies with energy performance contracts incur long-term obligations that, when performance metrics are met, must be paid, thus diminishing the Legislature's authority and responsibility to appropriate funds for public priorities that arise and change from time to time; and

WHEREAS, the statutory provision allowing state agencies to basically retain the cost savings from energy performance

S.C.R. NO. 119

contracts may be more beneficial to the agencies rather than the taxpayers; and

WHEREAS, the Legislature therefore finds that the energy performance contracting program requires a review at this time; now, therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED by the Senate of the Twenty-eighth Legislature of the State of Hawaii, Regular Session of 2015, the House of Representatives concurring, that the Auditor is requested to conduct an audit of the energy performance contracting program to determine whether:

(1) Energy performance contracts actually result in energy consumption savings;

(2) Energy performance contracts actually result in cost savings to the State;

(3) Contractually guaranteed performance metrics are reasonably, but not too easily, achievable by the contractor;

(4) Cost savings should be returned to the state treasury or retained by the state agency benefiting from the contract;

(5) The repayment obligation to the contractor binds the State too restrictively and whether such long-term obligation is in the best interest of the State;

(6) Future Legislatures are legally bound to appropriate funds for the annual costs of energy performance contracts or may retain the discretionary authority to deny appropriations for energy performance contract payments;

(7) The repayment obligation has an impact on the State's bond rating;

S.C.R. NO. 119

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	ຮບ
13	nc
14	Se
15	
16	
17	Cc
18	Αu

19 20 21 (8) Energy performance contractors have claimed the renewable energy tax credit and, if so, what has been the impact on the state general fund; and

(9) The calculation, verification, and auditing of energy consumption, operational savings, or other performance contracts should be done by an independent third party rather than the contractor or state agency benefiting from the contract; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Auditor is requested to submit the audit findings and recommendations to the Legislature no later than twenty days prior to the convening of the Regular Session of 2016; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that certified copies of this Concurrent Resolution be transmitted to the Governor and the Auditor.

OFFERED BY:

